

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

	_ 1
TATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ites Patent and Trademark Office MMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
. Box 1450 xandria, Virginia 22313-1450 w.uspto.gov	OF T

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/608,816	06/30/2000	John Potter	24,576-02	2383
75	7590 10/04/2003		EXAM	INER
JOHN F. KLOS		DORSEY, DENNIS		
FULLBRIGHT & JAWORSKI 225 SOUTH SIXTH STREET SUITE 4850 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-4320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3637	· ·
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
		JOHN POTTER
Office Action Summary	09/608,816	
<i></i>	Examiner Description	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communic	Dennis L Dorsey	3637
Period for Reply	audir appeals on all cover eller i	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wit - Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI II, by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>03 June 2003</i> .	
,	This action is non-final.	
3)☐ Since this application is in condition f	<i>,</i> —	itters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practic		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the ap		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction  Application Papers	on and/or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the	Evaminer	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		the Evaminer
Applicant may not request that any object		
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed of		_
If approved, corrected drawings are requ		p. 0.00 2/ 0.00pp. 0.00 5, 4.10 2.10
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•	
13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	or torong it priority arraor of o.c.o.	3 (4) 6. (1).
1. Certified copies of the priority de	ocuments have been received	
	ocuments have been received in A	Application No
	the priority documents have been	
	tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a)   The translation of the foreign lang	• •	
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap	D-948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 15

Application/Control Number: 09/608,816

Art Unit: 3637

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rehbein Patent Number 4,028,858 in view of Clear Patent Number 4,944,127 and Clement Patent Number 3,504,472.

Rehbein '858 teaches all the limitations of the claims except panel with two layers. Rehbein '858 teaches the use of deck blocks (1) to build a deck over substructure or joints (9), panel supports (3) support the panels on the deck, the panel supports extend upwardly away from the top longitudinal surface of the joist (9) and has a height less than the thickness of the panel (1) and the gap is less than the block thickness (see Figure 2), and panels are abutting at the ends to form a deck surface.

Clear '127 teaches a flooring panel with a top layer (14) that is inflexible and made of concrete, adhesively secured to a lower flexible layer (18), both layers have substantially the same size, and square in shape (see Figure 1). Clear '127 further teaches that the panels can be manufactured without the tongue and grooves. Clement '472 teaches a flooring substructure with a first portion (20) and a second portion (24) for supporting individual premanufactured concrete panels (28).

Application/Control Number: 09/608,816

Art Unit: 3637

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the all wood block for a two layer panel since it is held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material as taught by Clear '427 for the intended use as a matter of design choice.

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the Clement support structure for the Rehbein support since it is held to be within the skill of a worker in the art to select a known support panel as taught by Clement to provide a stronger support when using the two layer panel.

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the height of the support shorter than the thickness of the panel and make the gap between the panels smaller as well since it is held to be an obvious design choice as taught by Rehbein, requiring only routine skill in the art.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis L Dorsey whose telephone number is 703-306-9137. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 am-5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3637

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1020.

September 26, 2003

LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

lamana